

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/652,771 08/31/2000		08/31/2000	David Z. Becher	39-21(3779)B 6692.1	4545
321	7590	03/27/2003			
SENNIGER	POWE	ERS LEAVITT	EXAMINER		
16TH FLOO	R	AN SQUARE	PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL		
ST LOUIS, N	ST LOUIS, MO 63102			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER, .
				1616	K
				DATE MAILED: 03/27/2003	, /2

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No. 09/652,771

Applicant(s)

Office Action Summary Examiner

Art Unit

Alton Pryor

1616

Becher et al



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the c ver sheet with the c rresp ndence address					
	for Reply						
THE	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the						
mailing	date of this communication.						
- If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause to ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	,						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Dec 20, 2</u>	2002					
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 This act	tion is non-final.					
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under $Ex\ pa$	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposi	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u>	is/are pending in the application.					
		is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.					
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-32</u>	is/are rejected.					
7) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.					
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applica	tion Papers						
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	frawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.					
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.					
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	iner.					
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ∟	All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	•					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority d application from the International Bure se the attached detailed Office action for a list of th						
	14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachm		priority under 55 5.5.5. 33 125 und/or 121.					
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).					
2) No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) X Infe	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)5	6) Cther:					

Application/Control Number: 09652771 Page 2

Art Unit: 1616

I. Rejections of claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st and 2nd paragraph will not be maintained. How to make and use derivatives is disclosed in the specification. Examples of derivatives are also disclosed in the specification. See paper no. 13.

Claim Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

- II. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-32 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Crudden (US 5985798; 11/16/99) and Magin et al (US 5710103; 1/20/98). Crudden teaches a method of enhancing the herbicidal activity of glyphosate comprising adding to glyphosate a N-acyl sarcosinate. The herbicidal mixture is used in a method to control weed growth in crops. See abstract, column 1 lines 57-67, claim 1. Crudden does not teach the method comprising a quaternary ammonium surfactant. However, Magin teaches a method of applying to crop a herbicidal composition comprising glyphosate, C8-22 alkyl dimethyl amine oxide (tertiary amine) and a quaternary ammonium surfactant having 8-40 carbon atoms. See abstract, column 2 lines 43-63, claims 1,6. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to combine the prior art compositions. One would have been motivated to do this in order to enhance the

Application/Control Number: 09652771 Page 3

Art Unit: 1616

activity of glyphosate. With respect to the amounts / ratios of ingredients, one having ordinary skill in the art would have been expected to determine the optimum amounts / ratios of ingredients through routine experimentation. One would have been motivated to do this in order to develop the most effective composition for controlling weed growth.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton Pryor whose telephone number is (703) 308-4691. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees, can be reached on (703) 308-4628. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Alton Pryor

WINDER EXAMINER

Primary Examiner, AU 1616

3/24/03